1. Purpose
The purpose of this standard operating procedure (SOP) is to ensure that changes in the data management environment are well documented and communicated effectively.

2. Scope
This SOP describes the process for managing changes from the point the need for change (feature request, bug or issue) is identified to development, testing and rolling out of the desired change. This SOP is only intended for managing changes on the software involved in the CHAIN Data Management Environment. Data changes are out of scope.

3. Abbreviations/Definitions
- Change – Any desired outcome expected to happen to an existing status quo item.
- Issue – Anything causing undesired consequences. Issues can be categorized as:
  - Bug – An anomaly on a computer system causing it to malfunction on the affected functionality.
  - Feature request – A desired addition/enhancement that would improve the functionality of the part of the system.
- Data Management Environment - Redcap, KIDMS, & Reports Dashboard systems.
- UAT – User Acceptance Testing – A form of software feature testing that is geared to fit for purpose of the feature under testing.
- Production or production environment – The version of the system being used for real work/data entry.

4. Responsibility
The following is the responsibility matrix for change management in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibility</th>
<th>Scope</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site Staff (field, data entry, lab or clinical)</td>
<td>Identify and raise issues (bugs, feature enhancements) to site data manager.</td>
<td>Site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site Data Manager</td>
<td>Compile list of issues from site staff and send to Network Data Management coordinators. Informs site co-ordinator and or site PI on status of issues.</td>
<td>Site</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 5. Procedure

#### 5.1 Change Management at Site

1. Site staff identify the need for a change on a part of a system/software on the data management environment. They raise this request to their site data manager.
2. Site data manager discusses the issue with the staff to see if the matter can be resolved locally. If not, he/she takes note and forwards it to the Network Data Management Coordinators.
3. Site data manager updates site co-ordinator and or site PI of the status of issues raised from the site.
4. Site data manager follows up with network data co-ordinators for resolution of issues. Conducts User Acceptance Testing (UAT) and participates in approving changes (especially those raised by them).
5. Site data manager receives updates (on completion of changes at production) from central coordination team and communicates to site staff.
6. Site data manager and site coordinator co-ordinates any training required to effectively implement the change.

#### 5.2 Change Management at Central Co-ordination

1. Data coordination team receives issues from site data managers and channels them to appropriate central co-ordination team members.
2. Proposed changes from sites are discussed by subject matter Network Coordinator and an evaluation made as to whether or not these affect all sites. In this case the subject matter coordinator (clinical, lab, communication e.t.c.) should discuss these with site PI/coordinator but also evaluating if updates are needed in the main system for all sites.
3. If changes target all sites. Network coordinator responsible makes changes to paper CRFs and circulates a draft version. E.g. if production Enrolment CRF is v1.63, then he/she releases v1.63.1 or 1.63.2 (second decimal version). The paper CRF is circulated among Co-ordination and Leadership members through specific calls/meetings to discuss where necessary. In the event of minor changes, an email vote can be provided.
   This step may be omitted if the requested change does not need a paper crf change.
4. Once, voted, the sub-version is implemented (by co-ordination data management team) on our UAT server [http://uat.chainnetwork.org](http://uat.chainnetwork.org). A link is shared again to the same group that approved the paper change. If okay, it is advanced for testing at the site that raised the issue. If the issue came from the coordination team, then a candidate testing site is selected.
5. Once UAT is successful, success criteria being the expected documented changes according to the paper CRF sub-version released (which ideally will include any skip patterns and plausible ranges), a communication is sent to the people that voted for the change (could be
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**TABLE:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Responsibilities</th>
<th>Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Network Data Manager</td>
<td>Receives and updates sites data managers on status of issues. Solves issues. Advance issues to other network coordinators</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network Co-ordinators (Clinical, Lab, Communication, e.t.c)</td>
<td>Provide subject matter expert advice and direction on change management.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
coordination or leadership teams). Final approval will be given by the subject matter coordinator. E.g. if Lab issue then Caroline or Clinical then Priya e.t.c.

6. If changes are production ready and necessary blessings (approvals) have been provided, then data team will update CRF versioning document, depending on the change, this could be a major release or minor release. In the event of a major release, then a full version count is increment. Otherwise the sub-version number is implement. i.e. if enrolment is at v1.63, and we have changes on UAT at v1.63.2, then a minor release will be v1.64 and a major release will be v2.00.

Note: Production versions run on one decimal system, UAT versions run on second decimal system.

7. Data team or subject matter coordination team sends communication out to the network. Training sessions are held for the changes to be introduced and once ready the change is deployed.

8. The process repeats.
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8. Site training record

All sites are required to maintain a master copy of this SOP that documents the site staff that have been trained on this SOP.
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